PO Box 3393,
Port Elizabeth, 6056
South Africa

Email: muftis@themajlis.co.za
The Majlis

18 Jamaadith Thaani 1444 – 11 January 2023

Part Two

THE KNB (KUFR NIKAH BILL)

GANIEF HENDRICK'S DESPERATE CLUTCHING AT STRAWS

REMINDER

Before continuing with our demolition of the insipid, stupid drivel proffered by Mr.Ganief Hendricks in his clutching at straws attempt to divert focus from the subject under discussion, it is proper to remind readers what exactly is the issue underlying our current dispute with Hendricks. He had very boldly claimed:

(1) That the government has recognized Shariah Law.

(2) That henceforth the consequences of Muslim Marriages would be Shariah consequences.

(3) That The Majlis had submitted an interfaith marriage Bill, yet we oppose others who author such bills.

The above facts constitute the sum total of the subject which has to be discussed and satisfactorily resolved either by way of Mr.Ganief providing the evidence for his claims as mentioned above OR he concedes that he had erred and therefore retracts his error.

However, instead of executing what is honourable and what is the demand of Truth, Dignity and Integrity, this fellow who is intoxicated with being a parliamentarian in a kufr political system destined for Jahannam, has deemed it fit to side-track the ISSUE by means of the obfuscation of the introduction of past issues which have absolutely no relationship with the dispute spawned by the FALSEHOOD he had peddled – the

FALSEHOOD state above in our Reminder. This is the hallmark of all men of *baatil, nifaaq and kufr*. They are bereft of principles and have no respect for the Haqq although they masquerade as Muslims.

Let us now continue our demolition of the copro-nonsense which Ganief has proffered in his abortive attempt to obscure the real issue to save himself from what he perceives as humiliation if he has to concede his error which concession shall in turn constrain him to retract.

In his essay of drivel, Mr.Hendricks avers:

"Are its responses to others not out of sync with the prophetic model that it claims to devotedly follow? Should it not be seeking other Sunnatic (or more palatable) ways of addressing matters that concern the Muslim Ummah?....." , and other silly statements pertaining to our style of acquittal and demolition of baatil, kufr, fisq and fujoor, but NOT at all touching on the SUBJECT MATTER which has led to the current dispute with the fussaaq and zanaadaqah posing as Muslims.

Most certainly, our responses do 'sync with the prophetic model'. However, Mr.Hendricks is ignorant of the 'prophetic model'. There is no semblance of Islam and the Sunnah in him, hence he has no valid conception of the 'prophetic model' – the *Uswah Hasanah* – which is the Sunnah we follow. Our methodology is fully within the ambit of the *Uswah Hasanah* of Rasulullah (Sallallahu alayhi wasallam) and his Sahaabah while, on the contrary, Ganief's methodology and his parliamentary occupation are part of the sunnah of Taghoot, about which the Qur'aan Majeed states:

"What! Have you not seen those who hallucinate that they believe in what has been revealed to you (O Muhammad!) and what has been revealed before you? (In fact) they seek the adjudication of Taaghoot (Iblees) whereas they have been commanded to reject him (the Devil). And, shaitaan plans to deviate them into great deviation."
(An-Nisaa', Aayat 60)

Thus, Ganief's KUFR bill which he desires to be enacted as law by the parliament of Taaghoot whom he worships, conspicuously portrays his true colours of kufr. In order to obfuscate the issue, he presents stupid red herrings such as our methodology of demolition of baatil. But these stupid attempts in no way answers the core issues mentioned in the Reminder above.

His attempt to seek refuge behind the skirt of advocate M.S.Khan also exhibits his utter inability to defend his position – the position of FALSEHOOD stated in our Reminder. And, advocate Khan is also culpable in the dastardly act of obfuscating the Haqq by cunningly slinking into a fortress of silence, not stating the truth of which he is fully cognizant. This advocate knows just as every other ignorant Muslim knows that the claims of Hendrick's pertaining to 'recognition of Shariah Law', are absolute bunkum and false. But, despite the advocate's proximity to the realm of Barzakh, he deems it

appropriate to silently support the Hendricks faasiq/zindeeq with his silence. Your harping on our style of demolition of baatil will not aid you by Allah Ta'ala in any way. Don't wait until Malakul Maut stares you in the face. You are perhaps still in Makkah Mukaraamah. Don't ruin your Ibaadat with silent support for the Law of Taghoot.

Mr.Ganief Hendricks lamenting like a grimalkin aunt, says:

"Interesting to note is that despite the tabloid's public reactions towards its detractors or those expressing variant opinions on the MMB and related matters, this did not affect Al JAMA-AH's access to Mufti A. S. Desai; and neither did it act as a hurdle for me as Party leader in raising my concerns about The Majlis and its editorial mantra of insulting whoever they could (and did) in the harshest manner."

Mr.Ganief! The entire 5 pages of your stupid essay consists of your grievance pertaining to our methodology of 'harshness'. But you are absolutely silent regarding the FALSEHOOD you had so boldly trumpeted. You were bold in the proclamation of your falsehood because you failed to realize that your blatant *baatil* so brazenly trumpeted will be demolished by the Haqq. Do you know what the Haqq is? For your edification and for the expurgation or de-verimiculation of the brain, the Qur'aan Majeed states:

"In fact, We (harshly) strike baatil (the law of Taaghoot) with the Haqq. Then it (the Haqq) smashes out its (baatil's) brains."

The methodology of *The Majlis* is vital for *"SMASHING OUT THE BRAINS OF BAATIL"* – brains vermiculated and utterly ruined with kufr – the law of Taghoot which Hendricks has embraced. The 'smashing' stated by the Qur'aan Majeed requires 'harshness'. It is therefore the Qur'aan and Sunnah which have created *The Majlis* with its inherent attributes designed to safeguard the Deen and to demolish all *baatil* ideologies spawned by Taghoot whom Ganief has made his leader.

Ganief states:

"Since the MMB got support in the High Court, this was, naturally, a concern for MUSA and its allies. At that point, I informed Mufti A. S. Desai that I engaged with the Big Six of the ANC NEC that was facilitated by late Jessie Duarte; the group included both Jacob Zuma and Cyril Ramaphosa as well as the (then) SG GwedeMatashe; for the record, these ANC leaders also saw AL JAMA-AH as part of liberation movement, which they respected. So, after hearing about the flaws in the MMB, they asked AL JAMA-AH to draft its own Bill with the idea that the ANC will support it and then have it processed."

In the current argument with Hendricks, nothing of the drivel mentioned in the aforementioned averment features. We did not broach the topic of his laughable and impotent dalliance with the 'Big Six". To us only the Law of Allah Ta'ala is of concern. The Shariah is the only determinant. The nonsense of Ganief and of Taghoot whom he follows is dismissed with contempt. There is no need for us at this stage to elucidate on the above claims introduced with the sole motive of obfuscation and for diverting attention from the core issues mentioned in the Reminder. The MMB has been consigned to the grave where it is rotting. It does not at all feature in the context of the present argument with the agents of Taghoot pertaining to the claims mentioned in the Reminder – claims which Ganief is operosely endeavouring to obfuscate in the hope of dulling the public mind into complete forgetfulness of the core issues.

The Agent of Taghoot disgorged:

"Considering these developments, Mufti A. S. Desai assisted with a draft bill; this was called "The Recognition of Religious Marriages Bill." As long as the title of the Bill made no reference to Muslims, he had no quarrel. I then had to ensure that (former) President Zuma got a personal copy. Like Zuma assisted in extending the deadline for comments on the MMB, he was prepared to assist in enacting what I would term 'the Desai Bill' (and I do not know if Zuma knew Desai or those who were close to Desai). As far as I could detect, Zuma wanted a simple Bill and not a complicated one; despite this request, the issue evoked much dissention amongst the 'Ulama."

This man has absolutely no skin on his face. This statement is a **BLATANT LIE.** Only a man devoid of Imaan – a man who has been created to be *Hasabu Jahannam,* can have the satanic audacity to so brazenly publish LIES. Advocate Feroz Boda has debunked this LIE by throwing light on the kufr bill to which Ganief refers and which he so falsely attributes to *The Majlis.* Whoever has not seen Advocate Feroz Boda's refutation of the LIE, should make a perusal of it. It will suffice at this juncture to say that the above claim of Ganief Hendricks is a filthy, shaitaani LIE inspired by Taghoot.

TO BE CONTINUED IN PART THREE, INSHA-ALLAH!

MEANWHILE WE REMIND MUSLIMS THAT IT IS HARAAM TO VOTE FOR THE AL JAHANNAM PARTY. THE MARRIAGE BILL OF THIS AL JAHANNAM PARTY IS KUFR

==========----------==========

Question: *I live in Cape Town. I am following the argument between Mr.G.Hendricks and The Majlis. Now that you have clearly explained the kufr of Mr.Hendricks, what should I do when I bump into him and he makes Salaam? I do occasionally cross paths with him. If he says Assalamoealaikoem, may I respond as usual?*

Answer: His kufr is brazen. There is no conundrum in his kufr. It is haraam to respond with the Masnoon Salaam. If he makes Salaam, respond: *Hadaakallaah! (May Allah guide you).* To offer the Masnoon Salaam to him is like reciting the Tasmiyah when eating pork or drinking liquor or when indulging in zina.